

Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/8/2013 2:02:20 PM
To: Bayliss, David [Bayliss.David@epa.gov]
CC: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Materials needed for Specialty group reviews by COB 07/08
Attachments: FormaldehydeAppendixdraft070113forREVIEW.docx

Hi David,

Here is the link to the L drive where the most recent versions of the draft are stored.

I also attached the appendix, which contains the critiques and our responses.

Andrew

L:\Lab\NCEA\FORMALDEHYDE\2013 Draft Assembly Materials

From: Bayliss, David
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 9:55 AM
To: Kraft, Andrew
Subject: RE: Materials needed for Specialty group reviews by COB 07/08

Hello Andrew:

Please excuse my ignorance but I cannot determine where in my computer will I find the NAS comments pertaining to the revised formaldehyde document or Appendix D containing the responses to those comments. Could you enlighten me where I should look? I just returned from a trip all last week and missed a lot.

Dave Bayliss

From: Kraft, Andrew
Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2013 9:15 AM
To: Kraft, Andrew; Glenn, Barbara; Adams, Lynn; Bateson, Thomas; Bayliss, David; Cooper, Glinda; Jinot, Jennifer; Kushman, Mary; Makris, Susan; Pachkowski, Brian; Subramaniam, Ravi; Vulimiri, Suryanarayana; Whalan, John; Segal, Deborah
Subject: RE: Materials needed for Specialty group reviews by COB 07/08

Just so you have an idea the scope of what Barbara and I think is needed by Monday night, I attached something I drafted this morning for the neurotox review... it shouldn't take you more than an hour or so, I would think.

Andrew

From: Kraft, Andrew
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 4:18 PM
To: Glenn, Barbara; Adams, Lynn; Bateson, Thomas; Bayliss, David; Cooper, Glinda; Jinot, Jennifer; Kraft, Andrew; Kushman, Mary; Makris, Susan; Pachkowski, Brian; Subramaniam, Ravi; Vulimiri, Suryanarayana; Whalan, John; Segal, Deborah
Subject: Materials needed for Specialty group reviews by COB 07/08

Hello team,

Since the formaldehyde meeting tomorrow has been cancelled, maybe we can all spend that time preparing these materials, which need to be distributed to the reviewers. For the specific section(s) that you authored or contributed to, please prepare the following:

- 1) **By COB on 07/08:** Identify the specific issues within each section that the reviewers should pay particular attention to. These can include: (a) a reiteration of issues pointed out by NAS (reviewers have Appendix D, response to comments); (b) additional issues you identified that NAS may not have explicitly pointed out in your section (e.g., for neuro, the methanol contamination issue is a biggie) (c) difficulties you encountered when evaluating the literature, weighing the evidence for hazard, or deriving cRfCs/ IURs; (d) any portions of the write-up that you may have struggled with (e.g., how to describe or present something) that would benefit from a second set of eyes. [Note: in some instances, it may be useful for you to provide some additional background or set up to help orient the reviewers]
- 2) **ASAP:** Take a look at the responses to NAS comments currently in the Appendix (D) (in the version distributed to the teams) and revise the responses to be current with your present draft (in track changes). Suggest any changes in presentation (e.g., combining NAS statements or adding more of the NAS text to provide context to a question) that may facilitate easy interpretation of our decisions by the reviewers.
- 3) **When possible:** Review the Public comments and provide draft responses for those questions/ statements relevant to your section(s).

Barbara and I will discuss, organize and compile these for distribution to the teams next Wednesday or Thursday, at the latest. It would be great if we can get the response to NAS comments into some type of revised shape by then as well, although that might be asking a lot.

Andrew